

# Air Quality Forecast Evaluation

Brian K. Eder

Air Resources Laboratory  
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

National Exposure Research Laboratory  
Environmental Protection Agency  
RTP, NC 27711



As part of **NOAA's Strategic Plan** -

the Agency will be expanding from meteorological prediction to environmental prediction.

As a result, NOAA has launched a major new initiative:

**Chemical Weather Forecasting  
“Early Start” Research Plan.**

The goal of this research is to provide an:

**“operational national air quality forecasting system”,**

which will be achieved through a collaborative effort:

other federal agencies (e.g. EPA)  
universities (e.g. UNH)  
private sector (e.g. MCNC)

As part of *Task 2* of the:

Chemical Weather Forecasting “Early Start” Research Plan,

**ARL-RTP** has been asked to:

- 1) develop an evaluation protocol and
- 2) apply this protocol to several “air quality” forecast models:



MM5-“on-line” chemical model  
NOAA’s Forecast Systems Laboratory



MM5-SMOKE-MAQSIP model  
MCNC’s Environmental Programs Division



*MM5-CAMX model*  
*SUNY’s Atmospheric Science Research Center*

These air quality forecast models provide twice-daily, 36-hr forecasts:

- Maximum 1-h and 8-h ozone concentrations
- 1 July – 30 September 2001 (*Summer 2002*)
- Eastern United States

Texas (Houston)

North Carolina (Charlotte)

East Tennessee

New Hampshire (Boston)

In developing the protocol for this operational evaluation,

ARL-RTP will utilize ozone data:

EPA's AIRS (state and local)  
NOAA's AIRMAP and ETOS

Because of the significant influence of meteorology has on the quality of the ozone forecast, MM5 will also be evaluated using standard observations:

Surface and Upper-air

Model predictions will be extracted from the grid cells that contain observational data

# Two Forecast / Evaluation Types

**Discrete Forecasts** [Observed] vs [Forecast]

## Category Forecasts

Two Category Exceedance  
Non-Exceedance

a	b
c	d

Four Category Good  
Moderate  
Unhealthy for Sensitive  
Unhealthy

k	l	m	n
o	p	q	r
s	t	u	v
w	x	y	z

# Evaluation of Discrete Forecasts

## Accuracy ( $A$ )

Average “closeness” between forecast and observations.

$$A = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N |f_i - o_i|$$

## Bias ( $B$ )

Indicates average over- or under-prediction of the forecast.

$$B = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (f_i - o_i)$$

# Evaluation of Discrete Forecasts

## Skill Score (SS)

Percentage improvement of a forecast with respect to a reference forecast (i.e. climatology)

$$SS = 1 - \frac{A}{A_{ref}} \times 100$$

## Correlation (r)

A measure of the relationship between forecast and observations.

$$r = \frac{\frac{1}{N} \left( \sum_{j=1}^N (f_j - \mu_f)(o_j - \mu_o) \right)}{\sqrt{\frac{N \sum_{j=1}^N f_j^2 - \left( \sum_{j=1}^N f_j \right)^2}{N(N-1)}} \sqrt{\frac{N \sum_{j=1}^N o_j^2 - \left( \sum_{j=1}^N o_j \right)^2}{N(N-1)}}$$

# Two Category Forecast

		Forecast Exceedance	
		No	Yes
Observed Exceedance	No	a	b
	Yes	c	d

# Evaluation of Two Category Forecasts

a	b
c	d

**Accuracy (A)** Percent of forecasts that correctly predict event or non-event.

$$A = \frac{a + d}{N} \times 100$$

**Bias (B)** Indicates if forecasts are under-predicted (false negatives) or over-predicted (false positives)

$$B = \frac{b + d}{c + d}$$

# Evaluation of Two Category Forecasts

a	b
c	d

## False Alarm Rate (FAR)

Percent of times a forecast of high ozone did not occur

$$FAR = \frac{b}{b + d} \times 100$$

## Critical Success Index (CSI)

How well the high ozone events were predicted

$$CSI = \frac{d}{b + c + d} \times 100$$

# Evaluation of Two Category Forecasts

a	b
c	d

**Probability of Detection (POD)**

Ability to predict high ozone events

$$POD = \frac{d}{c + d} \times 100$$

**Skill Score (SS)**

Percentage improvement of a forecast with respect to a reference forecast (i.e., persistence).

$$SS = \frac{1 - A}{A_{ref}} \times 100$$

# Evaluation of Four Category Forecast

## Forecast

Good    Moderate    Unhealthy    Unhealthy  
Sensitive

**Observed**

Good

k

l

m

n

Moderate

o

p

q

r

Unhealthy  
Sensitive

s

t

u

v

Unhealthy

w

x

y

z

Good	k	l	m	n
Moderate	o	p	q	r
Unhealthy Sensitive	s	t	u	v
Unhealthy	w	x	y	z

The purpose of ARL-RTP's research is twofold:

- Develop an evaluation protocol for the air quality forecast models
  - determine which metrics are best
  - refine, streamline and “standardize” the evaluation procedure
  
- 2) Evaluate existing air quality forecast models
  - refine the models being used for forecasting
  - focus research on critical areas needing improvement

Help NOAA in their overall goal of providing:

**operational national air quality forecasting system**

# Schedule

Meteorological/chemical observations  
database preparation

Spring 2002

Forecast evaluation protocol development

Summer 2002

Forecast evaluation report

Autumn 2002

UCAR Postdoctoral Fellowship  
at the NOAA/EPA  
Atmospheric Sciences Modeling Division



Send application materials to:

UCAR / Visiting Scientist Programs

P.O. Box 3000

FL-4 / Suite 2200

Boulder, CO 80307-3000, USA

For further information about this UCAR opportunity,  
please call (303) 497-8649 or send e-mail to [vsp@ucar.edu](mailto:vsp@ucar.edu)  
UCAR is an Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action Employer.